



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED.STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/605,237	06/28/2000	Roy Mauger	476-1928	6163	
7590 05/07/2004			EXAMINER		
William M Lee Jr			GECKIL, M	GECKIL, MEHMET B	
Lee Mann Smith McWilliams Sweeney & Ohlson P O Box 2786 Chicago, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142	7	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	, /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I A I' AS NI-				
	Application No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/605,237	MAUGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The SAAU INO DATE of this communication and	Mehmet B. Geckil	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	r cicolon requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompliant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application fity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification or existence application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/605,237

Art Unit: 2142

- 1. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.
- 2. Applicant should update the status of the co-pending application cited in the specification.
- 3. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 9-25 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the first group, i.e., claims 1-8 should be classified along with the second group and thus there is no restriction. This is not found persuasive because as stated in the restriction requirement different groups have different elements and they require different search. For example, both groups include routing but group 2 does not include any virtual private networking features and group 1 does not include any label stack for defining tunnels and resource availability particulars.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 4. This application contains claims 1-8 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/605,237

Art Unit: 2142

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 9-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuah et al.
- 8. <u>Chuah et al</u> (6,408,001) taught the invention substantially as claimed including a communication multi-service network comprising a plurality of nodes interconnected via quality of service capable tunnels and incorporating a frame mode labeled switch (MPLS) architecture (col 6, line 62 et seq), wherein end-to-end communications having a predetermined quality of service (col 7, line 9 et seq) are provided by defining at the network edge a label stack of labels for delivering packets through a sequence of the tunnels defined by the label stack (see col 6, lines 62-67 and col 7, line 1 et seq.)

Application/Control Number: 09/605,237

Art Unit: 2142

- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time of the invention that the claimed invention differed from the teachings of Chuah et al only by a degree, e.g., in the claimed label stack of first, second, and third labels. But this is no more than a degree in a difference because it enumerates the labeled stack taught by Chuah et al as label stack of first, second, and third labels. Obviously a stack is defined something more than one, e.g., a stack of papers means a few papers. Stating that the stack includes a first paper and a second paper and a third paper is no more than telling the status of the stack. But stack is still is a stack of more than one something. In the present case Chuah et al taught a label stack having multiple entries. Obviously it includes more than one label (see col 7, line 15 et seq where it mentions all other label stack entries.) Stating label stack of first, second, and third labels does not change the nature of the stack. It is still a label stack of multiple labels. Therefore, the claimed invention differs only by a degree. Other claimed features are all obvious variations of the well known features of MPLS routing (See for example, the papers presented by the applicant as IDS and the European search report detailing two of the papers as X references with pointers to the papers.)
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Goyal et al (6,466,985) taught aggregating separate flows to a tunnel in MPLS by using a label stack by pushing the label stack at the entry of the tunnel, and popping of on exit. As the tunnel end may in effect be multiplexing point and a request including two



Application/Control Number: 09/605,237

Art Unit: 2142

labels (col 6, line 1 et seq.) Also see columns 3 and 11 for a teaching of QoS attributes and RSVP implementations.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehmet Geckil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 A.M. to 3:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Jack Harvey, can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are listed hereinbelow.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700. Customer service number is (703) 306-5631.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

5/4/04

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Meht Gold